PĀLI LEXICOGRAPHICAL STUDIES IX1

FOUR PĀLI ETYMOLOGIES

Here is another random group of words which are either omitted from PED,² or given an incorrect meaning or etymology there.

- 1. kinti "in order that"
- 2. kevala-kappa "(almost) entire"
- 3. sakāya niruttiyā "in/with own nirutti"
- 4. hevam "thus"

1. kinti "in order that"

PED gives the meaning "how then?" for kinti with only one reference for it (s.v. kin): kinti te sutam, D II 74,8. In Skt kim is used as a particle of interrogation, and very often it has no more meaning than a question mark. With the particle iti it means "why". In the sentence quoted above (= D II 75,10 = A IV 18,21 foll.) it is simply asking a question: "Have you heard?"

Journal of the Pali Text Society, XVI, 1992, 77-85

¹ See K.R. Norman, "Pāli Lexicographical Studies VIII", in *JPTS*, XV, pp. 145–54.

Abbreviations of the titles of Pāli texts are as in the Epilegomena to V. Trenckner: A Critical Pāli Dictionary, Vol. I, Copenhagen 1924-48 (= CPD). In addition: BHS = Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit; CP I = K.R. Norman, Collected Papers, Vol. I, PTS 1990; MW = M. Monier-Williams, Sanskrit-English Dictionary, Oxford 1899; PTS = Pali Text Society; PED = PTS's Pali-English Dictionary; PTC = Pāļi Tipiṭakaṃ Concordance; Skt = Sanskrit; (M)RE = (Minor) Rock Edict; SepE = Separate Edict; PE = Pillar Edict; cty/cties = commentary/commentaries.

There is, however, another usage found in Pāli, which is not mentioned in PED, where it is constructed with an optative, to indicate a purpose:

Vajjīnam arahantesu dhammikārakkhāvaraņa-gutti susamvihitā, kinti anāgatā ca arahanto vijitam āgaccheyyum, D II 75,11 = A IV 17,5 = 20,6,10,13: "... so that arahats may enter the territory". yāvakīvañ ca bhikkhave bhikkhū paccattam yeva satim upaṭṭhāpessanti, kinti anāgatā ca pesalā sabrahmacārī phāsum vihareyyun ti, D II 77,19 = A IV 22,3: "... so that good fellow-disciples may dwell at ease". kinti mahārāja idam dukkham nirujjheyya aññañ ca dukkham na uppajjeyya, Mil 31,29 = 65,30: "So that this dukkha may cease and another dukkha may not arise".

The construction with an optative (or occasionally an injunctive) is also found in the Aśokan inscriptions¹:

ya ca kimci parākramāmi aham kimti bhūtānam ānamnam gacheyam, RE VI(L) at G: "... so that I may discharge my debt ...".

ta etāya athāya ayam dhammalipī lekhāpitā kimti ciram tisteya, RE VI(M) at G: "... so that it may last a long time".

ya tu kici parikamate devānampiyo priyadasi rājā ta savam pāratrikāya kimti sakale apaparisrave asa, RE X(C) at G: "... so that there may be little danger".

na tu tathā dānam va pūjā va devānampiyo mamnate yathā kiti sāravadhi asa, RE XII(B) at G: "... so that there may be an increase in sāra". tasa tu idam mūlam ya vacigutī kimti ātpapāsamdapūjā va parapāsamdagarahā va no bhave aprakaranamhi, RE XII(D) at G: "... so

that there should not be praise of one's own sect or blame of another's sect ... ".

yo hi koci ātpapāsamdam pūjayati parapāsamdam va garahati savam ātpapāsamdahatiyā kimti ātpapāsamdam dīpayema iti, RE XII(H) at G: ... so that we may glorify our own sect".

ta samavāyo eva sādhu kimti amnamamnāsa dhammam srunāru ca susumsera ca, RE XII(I) at G: "... so that they may hear each other's dhamma".

evam hi devānampiyasa ichā kimti savapāsamdā bahusrutā ca asu kalānāgamā ca, RE XII(J) at G: "... so that all sects may be learned ...".

devānampiyo no tathā dānam va pūjam va mamnate yathā kimti sāravadhī asa sarvapāsamdānam, RE XII(L) at G: "... so that there may be an increase in sāra".

anutape pi ca prabhave devanampriyasa vucati teṣa kiti avatrapeyu na ca haṃñeyasu, RE XIII(N) at Sh: " ... so that they may be ashamed and not be killed".

etaye $c\bar{a}$ athaye ayi dhramadipi nipista kiti putra papotra me asu (? read anaṃ)¹ navaṃ vijayaṃ ma vijetavia mañiṣu, RE XIII(X) at Sh: "... so that they may not think of another new victory".

atha pajāye ichāmi hakam kimti savena hitasukhena hidalokikapālalokikāye yujevu ti, SepE II(E) at Dh: "... so that they be provided with complete welfare ...".

ichā hi me kimti samghe samage cilathitīke siyā ti, Schism Edict (E) at Sāñcī: "... so that the samgha may be united and last a long time".

etāni bhaṃte dhaṃmapaliyāyāni ichāmi kiṃti bahuke bhikhupāye cā bhikhuniye cā abhikhinaṃ suneyu cā upadhālayeyū cā, Bhabra (E): "... so that many groups of monks and nuns may listen repeatedly ...". etiya aṭhāye ca sāvane kaṭe ... iya paka(me) kiti ciraṭhitike siyā, MRE I(H) at Rūp: "... so that it may last a long time".

¹ Abbreviations of Asokan site names: G = Girnār; Sh = Shāhbāzgaṛhī; Dh = Dhauli; Rūp = Rūpnāth. I follow the sentence divisions of E. Hultzsch, *The Inscriptions of Asoka*, Oxford 1925.

¹ See L. Alsdorf, "Der Schluss von Asokas dreizehntem Felsedikt", Mélanges d'Indianisme (à la mémoire de Louis Renou), Paris 1968, pp. 23-33 (p. 26).

Four Pāli Etymologies

tesaṃ ye abhihāle vā daṃde vā atapatiye me kaṭe kiṃti lajūkā asvathā abhītā kaṃmāni pavatayevū ti, PE IV(D): "... so that the lajūkas may perform their duties confidently ...".

dhammayutena ca viyovadisamti janam jānapadam kimti hidatam ca pālatam ca ālādhayevū ti, PE IV(E): "... so that they may attain this world and the next".

ichitaviye hi es \bar{a} kimti viyoh \bar{a} lasamat \bar{a} ca siy \bar{a} damdasamat \bar{a} c \bar{a} , PE IV(K): " ... so that there may be impartiality in proceedings and in punishments".

This is a development of the usual meaning "Why?" Someone has done, or will do, something. Why? That something else may or may not happen (expressed in the optative or injunctive). We may then interpret *kinti* as introducing the purpose clause. "(The king) has acted, so that something may happen".

2. kevala-kappa "(almost) entire"

PED quotes (s.v. kevala) this compound from Sn pp. 18, 45 (mistake for 46), 125, Pj I 115 and Vv-a 124 255 with the meaning "a whole kappa", and repeats this ("a whole, complete kappa") with the references Sn pp. 18, 46, 125 (s.v. kappa).

These references are all to a stock phrase referring to a divinity illuminating a grove:

aññatarā devatā ... kevalakappam Jetavanam obhāsetvā, Sn p. 18,10 = p. 46,14 = Khp p. 2,29 (glossed at Pj I 115,19 foll.) ≠ Sn p. 125,10 (Brahmā Sahampati).

kevalakappam Gijjhakūṭam cando viya suriyo viya ca obhāsentī, Vv-a 124,11.

devalokato āgantvā kevalakappam Veļuvanam obhāsento, Vv-a 255,6.

This stock phrase is widely found. Pj I 115,19 foll., Ps II 125,36 foll., Spk I 15,22 foll. and Mp II 377,2 foll. give the definition abhisaddahana-vohāra-kāla-paññatti-chedana-vikappa-lesa-samanta-bhāv'-ādi-anekattho for kappa. They quote: kevalakappaṃ Veļuvanaṃ obhāsetvā ti (Ñāṇamoli identifies this as S I 52,21; it would seem preferable to identify it as S I 1,10, since Buddhaghosa deals with the word at Spk I 15,22 foll. [ad S I 1,10]) evamādisu samantabhāvo. idha pan' assa samantabhāvo attho adhippeto. tasmā kevalakappam Andhavanan ti ettha anavasesaṃ samantato Andhavanan ti evam attho daṭṭhabbo. Mp III 353,8 (ad A III 309,4) does not give the full explanation but glosses: kevalakappam ti sakalakappaṃ. At Mp II 374,30 (ad A I 277,2) kevalakappaṃ is glossed: sakalaṃ kappaṃ, which is a wrong reading for sakala-kappaṃ (Be so). At Sp 972,2 (ad Vin I 26,3) kevalakappaṃ is glossed sakalaṃ kevalaṃ.

It is clear that the meaning given by PED for these references is incorrect, although Childers² had long ago seen correctly that the meaning of kevalakappam Jetavanam was "the whole of Jetavana", and had defined kevalakappo³ as "all, whole, entire". Masefield points out⁴ that this sense is not listed by PED under either kevala or kappa, and very charitably he does not say that the meaning which is given under both headings is wrong.

This failure is all the more striking because PED does list the correct meaning for *kappa* at the end of compounds (s.v. *kappa*), i.e. "made as, like, resembling", e.g. *khagga-visāṇa-kappa* "like the rhinoceros horn". The difficulty in the Pāli usage lies, as often in Pāli, in a Skt usage, and the solution to the problem is found by consulting

¹ See PTC, s.vv. obhāseti and kevalakappa.

² R.C. Childers, Dictionary of the Pāli language, London 1875, s.v. kappo.

³ Childers, *ibid.*, s.v. *kevalakappo*.

⁴ P. Masefield, Vimāna-Stories, PTS 1989, p. 190 (note 6).

MW, where the meaning is given (inter alia): "having the manner, form of, similar to, like (but with a degree of inferiority), almost", e.g. abhedya-kalpa "almost impenetrable", prabhāta-kalpa "nearly become light, approaching dawn", mṛta-kalpa "almost dead, apparently dead".

The meaning of kevala-kappa is therefore, "(almost) entire", or "just about the whole of ...". This meaning is given in the cties quoted above for kevalakappā ca Anga-Magadhā pahūtaṃ khādaniyaṃ bhojaniyaṃ ādāya upasaṃkamissanti (Vin I 27,28) "The whole of Anga and Magadha will come bringing quantities of food", for the sense is said to be yebhuyyatā "for the most part", i.e. "almost all". They also list kevalakappaṃ used adverbially: ayaṃ āyasmato Anuruddhassa Bāhiko nāma saddhivihāriko kevalakappaṃ saṃghabhedāya thito (A II 239,21), where the sense is said to be daļhatthatā "firmness". Nāṇamoli translates "This co-resident of the venerable Anuruddha's named Bāhika has taken his stand entirely for the schism in the Community", and Woodward translates "stands in every way for dissension in the Order". Mp III 215,16 glosses: kevalakappan ti sakalaṃ samantato.

The same meaning of kappa is found in the compound ahata-kappa which is used, in conjunction with ahata, of clothes: "unwashed or nearly unwashed, i.e. new or nearly new". Miss Horner misunderstands this, and translates ahatakappena (Vin I 255,8) and ahatakappānam (Vin I 290,11) "when what is allowable is unsoiled". Sp

1111,31 (ad Vin I 255,8) glosses: ahatakappenā ti ahatasadisena ekavāram vā dvikkhattum vā dhotena "like unwashed (i.e. new), washed (only) once or twice", and Sp 1128,18 (ad Vin I 290,11) glosses: ahatakappānan ti ekavāradhotānam "washed once". PED rightly lists the usage with ahata under the same meaning as for khagga-visāṇa-kappa, quoted above. CPD correctly translates ahata¹ as "nearly (practically) new".

3. sakāya niruttiyā "in/with own nirutti"

I am still not persuaded that in the well-known passage (Vin II 139,2–16) chandaso means "into the Vedic language", and consequently I do not agree with the statement, "It is hardly surprising that there was a certain pressure for using Vedic Sanskrit for the recitation of Buddhist texts from the very beginning. The Buddha objected to this, and the issue of language was felt to be important enough to require a rule in the Vinaya explicitly forbidding the use of this language for Buddhist texts in favour of the vernaculars". The belief that the Buddha ordered the use of vernacular languages depends upon the translation of the words sakāya niruttiyā. I wish to return to this problem.

I now think that I was wrong when I said in my earlier discussion of the phrase buddhavacanam chandaso āropema that the second time sakāya occurs in the story it must refer to the Buddha "since there is nothing else in the sentence to refer to". Sakāya can, and indeed must, I think, refer to Buddhavacanam. It is well-known that in the

¹ s.v. kalpa.

² Bhikkhu Ñāṇamoli, Minor Readings and Illustrator, PTS 1960, pp. 123–24.

³ F.L. Woodward, Gradual Sayings, Vol. II, PTS 1933, p. 244.

⁴ I.B. Horner, *Book of the Discipline*, Vol. IV, PTS 1951, pp. 357 and 413. Miss Horner seems not to have understood the meaning of *ahata* and its reference to the Indian way of washing clothes by banging them against a rock: "not struck (against a rock), i.e. never washed, i.e. new", and *ahata-kappa* "nearly new". She was perhaps misled by PED's definition of *ahata* (s.v. *hata*): "unsoiled, clean, new".

¹ CPD, Vol. I, s.v. ahata.

² O. von Hinüber, "Origin and varieties of Buddhist Sanskrit", in C. Caillat (ed.): Dialectes dans les littératures indo-aryennes, Paris 1989, pp. 341–67 (p. 351).

³ K.R. Norman, "Middle Indo-Aryan Studies VIII", *Journal of the Oriental Institute* (Baroda), Vol. XX, pp. 329-36 (p. 330) = CP Vol. I, pp. 122-29 (p. 122).

the common Vinaya phrase anujānāti means "to ordain or prescribe". I therefore take the Buddha's command to mean "I ordain that the Buddhavacana be mastered in [or "with" — see below] its own nirutti", i.e. the infinitive pariyāpunitum is used in a passive sense, and I think that those who say we must understand the word vo in the sentence are wrong. Since, as I said in the same article, it is inconceivable that sakāya should have two different referents, it must have the same meaning when it is used earlier.

It is clear that it cannot be the followers' own *nirutti*. If it were, then we should have to assume that the Buddha, when informed that they were ruining (dūsenti) his teaching in/with/by their own nirutti, insisted on their using their own nirutti, i.e. insisted that they continue to ruin his teaching. Since he insists upon the Buddhavacana being mastered sakāya niruttiyā, the nirutti must also be part of what they are ruining, i.e. it is either the Buddha's nirutti or the nirutti of the Buddhavacana. We can take sakāya niruttiyā either as locative: "The Buddhavacana in its own nirutti" or as instrumental: "The Buddhavacana with its own nirutti". I have said elsewhere⁴ that I prefer the idea of "gloss" for nirutti. By this I mean some sort of simple commentary, perhaps nothing more than a translation into the local dialect of a single word, or an etymology to make the meaning plain. On the other hand, it would appear that Buddhaghosa was taking nirutti as "language", and this also makes sense: "They are spoiling the Buddhavacana in its own language". Buddhaghosa identified that

language with Māgadhī because, as I said earlier, that was the tradition handed down in the Mahāvihāra.

4. hevam "thus"

PED s.v. hevam gives no text references, but refers to hi, where it is stated that hevam = hi evam. Without doubt this is so in certain contexts. Where hevam occurs as a second word in a clause, particularly after na, it may stand for h(i) evam. Where it occurs as first word in a clause, it must be hevam, since hi, being an enclitic, cannot stand as first word.

I have elsewhere pointed out that hevam occurs in the Kathāvatthu,² e.g. hevam eva tattha dakkha (Kv 3,11); hevam paṭijānantā, hevam niggahetabbā (Kv 3,15-16). It is interesting to note that another such occurrence is at D I 54,17, in the description of the views of Makkhali Gosāla: hevam [Ee prints h' evam] n' atthi doṇa-mite sukha-dukkhe pariyanta-kaṭe saṃsāre. Since forms with initial h- are frequent in the Eastern versions of the Aśokan inscriptions,³ we can assume that this is a genuine Eastern dialect form, appropriate to the speaker, and therefore retained in the account of his views.

Cambridge

K.R. Norman

¹ See CPD, Vol. I, s.v. anujānāti.

² e.g. W. Geiger, *Pāli Literature and Language*, (second edition), Calcutta 1956, p. 7 footnote 2.

³ Norman, op. cit. (in note 3 on p. 83), p. 330.

⁴ K.R. Norman, "The dialects in which the Buddha preached", in H. Bechert (ed.): *The Language of the earliest Buddhist tradition*, Göttingen, 1980, pp. 61-77 (pp. 61-63).

¹ Norman, op. cit. (in note 3 on p. 83), p. 331.

² See K.R. Norman, "Māgadhisms in the Kathāvatthu", in A.K. Narain (ed.): Studies in Pali and Buddhism (a Memorial Volume in Honor of Bhikkhu Jagdish Kashyap), Delhi 1979, pp. 279–87.

³ See Hultzsch, op. cit. (in note 1 on p. 78), Index, s.vv. hida, heḍisa/hedisa, heta, hemeva, hevaṃ, hesā.